EXHIBIT A

In Support of

Corrected Motion for Leave to Amend to Add Costco

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5568-1 Filed: 08/01/24 2 of 2. PageID #: 641513

Amending Plaintiffs Seeking Leave to Add the Costco Defendants

Case Number	Case Caption	Plaintiff	State	Market Share 2006- 2019 - Doseage Units	Market Share 2006- 2019 - MME	Signed by
1:18-op-45001-DAP	Local 404 Teamsters Health Service and Insurance Plan v. Purdue Pharma	Local 404 Teamsters Health Service and Insurance Plan	Massachusetts	*	*	/s/ Frank Schirripa
1:18-op-45013-DAP	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees District Council 37 Health & Security Plan v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees District Council 37 Health & Security Plan	New York	*	*	/s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser
1:18-op-45057-DAP	MSPA Claims 1, LLC et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	MAO-MSO Recovery II, LLC	Ohio	*	*	/s/ Janpaul Portal
1:18-op-45057-DAP	MSPA Claims 1, LLC et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	MSP Recovery Claims, Series LLC	Ohio	*	*	/s/ Janpaul Portal
1:18-op-45057-DAP	MSPA Claims 1, LLC et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al	MSPA Claims 1, LLC	Ohio	*	*	/s/ Janpaul Portal
1:18-op-45612-DAP	Clallam County v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al	Clallam County	Washington	5.29%	4.41%	/s/ David Ko
1:18-op-45627-DAP	County of Butte v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al	Butte County	California	6.25%	6.38%	/s/ Peter J. Mougey
1:18-op-45942-DAP	Humboldt County v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al	Humboldt County	California	6.20%	4.16%	/s/ David Ko
1:18-op-46022-DAP	Makah Indian Tribe v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al	Makah Indian Tribe	Washington	5.29%	4.41%	/s/ David Ko
1:18-op-46092-DAP	City of Eureka et al v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al	Eureka City	California	6.20%	4.16%	/s/ David Ko
1:20-op-45177-DAP	City of Fairfax, VA v. Mallinckrodt PLC et al	Fairfax City	Virginia	15.90%	14.07%	/s/ Kevin Sharp
1:20-op-45189-DAP	City of Chico et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al	Chico City	California	6.25%	6.38%	/s/ Peter J. Mougey
1:20-op-45233-DAP	Frederick County, Virginia v. Mallinckrodt PLC et al	Frederick County	Virginia	6.86%	4.72%	/s/ Kevin Sharp

^{*} The market share has not been included here because both these Amending Plaintiffs are asserting national, classwide RICO claims against this defendant based its participation in a RICO enterprise through an ongoing pattern of violative acts, evidenced by a number of factors including a greater than 5% market share in a multiplicity of jurisdictions.